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#### Abstract

For the self-avoiding walk problem, the coefficients of the chain generating function and of the generating function for the sum of square end-to-end distances have been extended to 20 terms for the triangular lattice, to 27 terms for the diamond lattice, to 21 terms for the simple cubic lattice and to 16 terms for the bcc lattice. Precise estimates of the critical points are obtained, and for the exponents we find that $\gamma=1.161 \pm 0.002$ and $\nu=0.592 \pm 0.003$ encompasses all the three-dimensional lattice data.


## 1. Introduction

In an earlier paper (Guttmann 1987a, hereafter referred to as I) we presented extended series for both the square lattice and simple cubic (sc) lattice self-avoiding walk chain generating function $C(v)$ and mean square end-to-end distance generating function $R(v)$, where

$$
C(v)=\sum c_{n} v^{n} \quad R(v)=\sum r_{n}^{2} v^{n}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is the number of $n$-step self-avoiding random walks (SAW) with fixed origin and $r_{n}^{2}$ is the sum of the squares of the end-to-end distance of all $c_{n} n$-step saw.

In this paper we report further extensions of the sc lattice data by an additional term, extension of the triangular lattice walk data by one term, extension of the BCC lattice data by three terms and extension of the diamond lattice data by five terms.

The computational aspects of some of these calculations are unusually interesting. The extension of the simple cubic data, which was computationally the most demanding, was performed on a two-pipe Cyber 205. The diamond lattice calculations were performed on a Cyber 990, while the triangular and BCC lattice calculations were performed on a dedicated Micro Vax II. (By the term 'dedicated' we mean that the machine did nothing else but these calculations for 168 h per week for about two months.)

The algorithm used was the dimerisation algorithm of Torrie and Whittington (1975), appropriately modified to take advantage of the vectorisation capabilities of the two Cyber machines.

The new series were analysed using the analysis protocol developed in I, based on the method of differential approximants. The numerical results for the two-dimensional triangular lattice walk exponent $\gamma$ are in agreement with Nienhuis' $(1982,1984)$ exact exponent estimates, while the critical point estimate has been slightly refined beyond that given in I. For the three-dimensional lattices, we find consistent exponent estimates from lattice to lattice, which are in agreement with those obtained from renormalisation
group theory and Monte Carlo analysis. The critical point estimates we believe to be the most precise that have been obtained to date.

## 2. Generation of series

Some manual optimisation was necessary to maximise the performance of the code on the Cyber 205. In pure scalar mode the program ran at about 14 times the speed of a Vax $11 / 780$. Improved performance was obtained by switching from 64-bit to 32 -bit precision (the latter being, in fact, the same precision as the Vax), by hand-coding Cyber 205 vector statements and by assembly coding of 'linked triad' operations. An example of a linked triad is a construction of the form $C=A+k B$, where $A, B$ and $C$ are vectors and $k$ is scalar. On a sequential machine this would probably be evaluated as a sequence of pairs of operations, each pair consisting of a scalar multiplication followed by a scalar addition, with the sequence controlled by a do-loop. Without linked triads (or 'chaining') a pipelined vector machine would evaluate it as a pipelined sequence (vector) of multiplications followed by a pipelined sequence of additions. Computed as a linked triad, the output of the multiplication pipe is fed directly into the addition pipe with no storage of intermediate results. Thus, for the two-pipe Cyber 205 , the time for multiplication of an $n$-component 32 -bit vector by a 32 -bit scalar or for addition of two $n$-component 32 -bit vectors is $T(n)=51+n / 4$ cycles, while that for a linked triad of the above form is $61+n / 4$ cycles. Hence, for large vectors a time saving approaching $50 \%$ is attainable. The denominator of 4 in these expressions is the product of a factor of 2 due to the 205 having two vector pipes and another factor of 2 due to using 32 -bit precision.

Though automatic vectorisation is available, the existence of a linked triad is frequently missed by the compiler, particularly if it is not in an obvious canonical form. Investigation of the assembly code identified such occurrences, and explicit assembly coding was then performed on triadic operations. A fuller discussion of this problem is given by Ramamurthy (1987).

In this way an overall speed-up from the scalar version of a factor of nearly 8 was obtained, with the result that the program ran at 100 times the speed of a Vax 11/780. Even so, around 40 h of CPU time was required to obtain the series to 21 terms. The series to 20 terms was given in I . The additional coefficients are $c_{21}=$ $235710090502158 v^{21}$ and $r_{21}^{2}=9679153967272734 v^{21}$.

The diamond lattice series were generated on a Cyber 990 . This is a high-speed scalar machine, capable of some degree of vectorisation. Rewriting the FORTRAN code, but not resorting to assembly language programming, gave a speed of about 25 times that of a Vax 11/780. In this way the series for both the number of walks and the sum of their mean square end-to-end lengths were obtained to 27 terms. This gives five new coefficients beyond those given by Ishinabe (1989). These are also given in table 1.

For the triangular lattice, we found the coefficient of $v^{20}$ in the chain generating function to be $c_{20}=7812439620678$. The corresponding mean square end-to-end distance coefficient was not calculated.

For the bcc lattice both the number of walks and the sum of their mean square end-to-end lengths were obtained to 16 terms. This gives three new coefficients beyond those given by Ishinabe (1989). These are also given in table 1.

Table 1. Coefficients of the chain generating function $C(v)$ and the sum of the mean square distance generating function $R(v)$ for the diamond and body-centred cubic lattice.

|  | Diamond lattice |  | Body-centred cubic lattice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C(v)$ | $R(v) / 4$ | $C(v)$ | $R(v)$ |
| 0 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| 2 | 12 | 8 | 56 | 48 |
| 3 | 36 | 41 | 392 | 531 |
| 4 | 108 | 176 | 2648 | 5088 |
| 5 | 324 | 689 | 17960 | 44751 |
| 6 | 948 | 2552 | 120056 | 373404 |
| 7 | 2796 | 9083 | 804824 | 2999985 |
| 8 | 8196 | 31408 | 5351720 | 23457672 |
| 9 | 24060 | 106239 | 35652680 | 179561859 |
| 10 | 70188 | 353304 | 236291096 | 1352017596 |
| 11 | 205284 | 1158617 | 1568049560 | 10042445889 |
| 12 | 597996 | 3756384 | 10368669992 | 73771019064 |
| 13 | 1744548 | 12061945 | 68626647608 | 536817918837 |
| 14 | 5073900 | 38418328 | 453032542040 | 3875387231484 |
| 15 | 14774652 | 121504271 | 2992783648424 | 27783517769223 |
| 16 | 42922452 | 381942224 | 19731335857592 | 197998094612568 |
| 17 | 124814484 | 1194166357 |  |  |
| 18 | 362267652 | 3715993832 |  |  |
| 19 | 1052271732 | 11514366573 |  |  |
| 20 | 3051900516 | 35543506848 |  |  |
| 21 | 8857050204 | 109342447895 |  |  |
| 22 | 25671988020 | 335329803992 |  |  |
| 23 | 74449697484 | 1025473390579 |  |  |
| 24 | 215677847460 | 3127923450864 |  |  |
| 25 | 625096195404 | 9518194702643 |  |  |
| 26 | 1810062340812 | 28900497267032 |  |  |
| 27 | 5243388472212 | 87574269583237 |  |  |

## 3. Analysis of series

We have used the same analysis protocol based on differential approximants described in I and discussed further in Guttmann (1989). We will not repeat the details here, but just give the results of our analysis.

For the triangular lattice data, the additional coefficient gives 10 non-defective first-order approximants ( $K=1$ ) and 7 second-order approximants ( $K=2$ ) which combine together to give the estimates

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.34341 \pm 0.00049 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.2409173 \pm 0.0000016 \\
\gamma=1.3452 \pm 0.0077 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.240921 \pm 0.000016
\end{array}\right\} \quad K=1
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& K=2
\end{aligned}
$$

These results are entirely consistent with the exact value $\gamma=\frac{43}{32}=1.34375$. Imposing this value, linear regression among the approximants that gave rise to the above values gives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.2409193 & K=1 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.240918 & K=2 .
\end{array}
$$

These are consistent with, but slightly more precise than, our earlier estimate based on first-order differential approximants of $0.240920 \pm 0.000003$.

For the sc lattice data, the additional coefficient gives 11 non-defective first-order approximants ( $K=1$ ) and 8 second-order approximants ( $K=2$ ) which combine together to give the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1610 \pm 0.0008 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.213496 \pm 0.000003
\end{array} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1611 \pm 0.0010 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.213496 \pm 0.000004
\end{array}\right\} \quad K=1
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& K=2
\end{aligned}
$$

These results are entirely consistent with, but apparently more precise than, our earlier estimates $\gamma=1.1613 \pm 0.0021$ and $v_{c}=0.213497 \pm 0.000010$.

We have also analysed the generating function for $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle=r_{n}^{2} / c_{n}$, biased at 1.0. The estimate of $1+2 \nu$ based on all 21 terms was $2.1890 \pm 0.0041$. (This adds an extra row to table 8 of I.) This additional entry does not alter our previous estimate of $\nu=$ $0.592 \pm 0.004$, though it does suggest that our upper bound is very conservative. We remark that an additional analysis biasing the series both at +1.0 and -1.0 , which is appropriate for loose-packed lattices, does not appear to improve the quality of the approximants. Thus the $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle$ series continue to display poorer convergence than the chain generating function series, presumably due to the more complicated confluent singularity structure, as explained in I.

For the diamond lattice data, we show the results of the analysis of the chain generating function in table 2. As explained in I, each row gives the mean and twice the standard deviation of the critical point and critical exponent estimates of the $L$ approximants obtained from a $K$ th-order inhomogeneous differential approximant using $n$ series coefficients, where $L, K$ and $n$ are given in table 2 .

These data may be combined, as in I, and yield the overall estimates

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1600 \pm 0.0036 \\
v_{c}=0.34734 \pm 0.000
\end{array}\right\} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1627 \pm 0.0021 \\
v_{c}=0.34737 \pm 0.00001
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} \quad K=1
$$

In table 3 we give the results of the corresponding analysis of the $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle$ series, biased at 1.0. Combining these results gives $1+2 \nu=2.184 \pm 0.006$, where the general downward trend of the estimates has been taken into account as for the sc lattice, so that $\nu=0.592 \pm 0.003$.

For the BCC data our series are still comparatively short. For the corresponding Ising susceptibility series we have five further terms (Nickel 1982), and as our analysis (Guttmann 1987b) showed, these five extra terms moved the estimate of the exponent $\gamma$ downward by about 0.001 . Repetition of the analysis performed on the diamond lattice data above gave the overall results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1626 \pm 0.0011 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.153136 \pm 0.000004
\end{array}\right\} \quad K=1 \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\gamma=1.1631 \pm 0.0012 \\
v_{\mathrm{c}}=0.153138 \pm 0.000004
\end{array}\right\} \quad K=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 2. Diamond lattice $C(v)$ series. Summary of critical point and exponent estimates from first- and second-order differential approximants.

| $K=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | Critical point |  | Critical exponent |  | $L$ |
| 16 | 0.3475135 | 0.0003068 | -1.1768275 | 0.0229056 | 4 |
| 17 | 0.3473996 | 0.0003015 | -1.1669021 | 0.0305992 | 10 |
| 18 | 0.3474040 | 0.0002634 | -1.1663807 | 0.0287292 | 11 |
| 19 | 0.3127142 | 0.2197531 | $-1.0556630$ | 0.7419848 | 10 |
| 20 | 0.3087767 | 0.2315826 | -1.033 5407 | 0.7752823 | 9 |
| 21 | 0.2841819 | 0.2810066 | -0.947 5911 | 0.9370825 | 11 |
| 22 | 0.3157481 | 0.2094436 | -1.0518605 | 0.6977571 | 11 |
| 23 | 0.3473337 | 0.0000732 | -1.1574595 | 0.0112242 | 11 |
| 24 | 0.3473499 | 0.0000952 | -1.159 5264 | 0.0150142 | 11 |
| 25 | 0.3473408 | 0.0000858 | -1.1584533 | 0.0144188 | 11 |
| 26 | 0.3473197 | 0.0002103 | $-1.1547071$ | 0.0368470 | 11 |
| 27 | 0.3473454 | 0.0000242 | -1.159 3832 | 0.0045143 | 9 |
|  | $K=2$ |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | Critical point |  | Critical exponent |  | $L$ |
| 16 | 0.0000000 | - | 0.0000000 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 17 | 0.0000000 | - | 0.0000000 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 18 | 0.3472804 | 0.0000418 | -1.1542270 | 0.0054374 | $2 \times$ |
| 19 | 0.3473235 | 0.0003150 | -1.1610528 | 0.0245923 | $2 \times$ |
| 20 | 0.3474094 | - | $-1.1676784$ | - | $1 \times$ |
| 21 | 0.3473958 | 0.0000354 | -1.1659049 | 0.0041696 | 5 |
| 22 | 0.3474049 | 0.0000394 | -1.1672672 | 0.0059761 | 4 |
| 23 | 0.2605036 | 0.3473382 | -0.869 1550 | 1.1588800 | 4 |
| 24 | 0.3473633 | 0.0000429 | -1.162 2211 | 0.0064901 | 4 |
| 25 | 0.3473506 | 0.0000190 | -1.160 3824 | 0.0032035 | 8 |
| 26 | 0.3473496 | 0.0000395 | -1.160 4947 | 0.0081326 | 6 |
| 27 | 0.3473483 | 0.0000300 | -1.160 0153 | 0.0057920 | 7 |

Decreasing the estimate of $\gamma$ by around 0.001 then brings it into good agreement with the SC estimate, and linear regression adequately takes account of the dependence of the estimates of $\gamma$ on the estimates of $v_{c}$. In this way, we estimate $v_{c}=$ $0.153129 \pm 0.000010$.

Analysis of the BCC $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle$ series as above displays the same dependence on order. That is, the exponent estimates decrease as the number of series coefficients used in the analysis increases. The last entry using all 16 terms gives $\nu=0.595$, and so the decreasing trend implies excellent agreement with our earlier quoted estimate, $\nu=$ $0.592 \pm 0.004$. Taking the diamond and sc results into account, a sharpening of the confidence limits to $\pm 0.003$ would seem to be acceptable.

## 4. Conclusion

Our results for critical points and critical exponents are summarised in table 4. The critical point estimates are believed accurate to within a few parts in the last quoted

Table 3. Diamond lattice $\left\langle R_{n}^{2}\right\rangle$ series. Summary of biased (at 1.0 ) exponent estimates from first- and second-order differential approximants. Table entries are $-1-2 \nu$.

|  | $K=1$ |  |  |  | $K=2$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | Critical exponent |  | $L$ | $n$ | Critical expo |  | $L$ |
| 15 | -2.1933674 | 0.0091402 | 4 | 15 | - | - | $0 \times$ |
| 16 | -2.1911632 | 0.0067646 | 4 | 16 | -2.194 1835 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 17 | -2.1912702 | 0.0157813 | 10 | 17 | - | - | $0 \times$ |
| 18 | -2.190 6014 | 0.0007349 | 11 | 18 | -2.1871552 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 19 | -2.1909763 | 0.0039679 | 12 | 19 | -2.1926328 | 0.0041694 | $2 \times$ |
| 20 | -2.1901439 | 0.0006538 | 5 | 20 | -2.192 1337 | 0.0059837 | $2 \times$ |
| 21 | - | - | $0 \times$ | 21 | -2.189 3255 | 0.0008717 | $2 \times$ |
| 22 | -2.188 2741 | 0.0030812 | $2 \times$ | 22 | -2.1906224 | 0.0027715 | 5 |
| 23 | -2.1912046 | 0.0096639 | 5 | 23 | -2.190 3151 | 0.0017517 | $3 \times$ |
| 24 | -2.189 2058 | 0.0014958 | 5 | 24 | -2.190 2652 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 25 | -2.1896137 | 0.0033479 | $3 \times$ | 25 | -2.1874493 | - | $1 \times$ |
| 26 | -2.185 6371 | 0.0061528 | 5 | 26 | -2.185 4883 | 0.0013660 | $3 \times$ |
| 27 | -2.1859705 | 0.0007148 | $3 \times$ | 27 | -2.1859416 | - | $1 \times$ |

Table 4. Summary of critical point and critical exponent estimates obtained in this work. For completeness we also quote results for other lattices treated in previous work.

| Lattice | Critical point | Exponent $\gamma$ | Exponent $v$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Square | 0.3790523 | $1.34375 \dagger$ | $0.75000 \dagger$ |
| Triangular | 0.240919 | $1.34375 \dagger$ | $0.75000^{\dagger}$ |
| Diamond | 0.34736 | $1.161 \pm 0.003$ | $0.592 \pm 0.003$ |
| Simple cubic | 0.213496 | $1.161 \pm 0.001$ | $0.592 \pm 0.004$ |
| Body-centred cubic | 0.15313 | $1.162 \pm 0.002$ | $0.592 \pm 0.004$ |
| Face-centred cubic $\ddagger$ | 0.099637 | $1.163 \pm 0.002$ | 0.592 |

$\dagger$ Exact value.
$\ddagger$ Result given in I.
digit. The exponent $\gamma$ is entirely consistent with the recent field theoretical results of Le Guillou and Zinn-Justin (1985) of $\gamma=1.160 \pm 0.004$, as well as an analysis by Ishinabe (1989) using shorter series.

The overall estimate of the exponent $\nu=0.592 \pm 0.003$ is in agreement with earlier Monte Carlo work (Rapaport 1985, Madras and Sokal 1988), though it is slightly higher than the field theory estimate of Le Guillou and Zinn-Justin of $0.588 \pm 0.001$, a result also obtained by Ishinabe recently on the basis of a ratio-type analysis of shorter series. The formula of Flory, $\nu=3(d+2)$, is seen to be exact for $d=1,2$ and 4 , but not for $d=3$. As shown by de Gennes (1979), the Flory formula depends on the cancellation of two competing approximations. It is remarkable that it is correct for three distinct dimensionalities.

Our second-order differential approximants give no evidence of a confluent singularity. Other methods we have tried in order to detect confluent singularities are also inconsistent. We conclude by remarking that we believe that there has as yet been no unequivocal evidence of a universal non-analytic correction-to-scaling exponent for the saw problem in two or three dimensions. As the series are extended, the effect of the confluent term in the new coefficients diminishes. Hence, while longer series (or

Monte Carlo work on long walks) are desirable to sharpen estimates of the physically important leading singularity, they are less obviously useful in detecting confluent exponents. Earlier work on this question is reviewed in I. More recent discussion has been given by Ishinabe (1989) and Enting and Guttmann (1989).
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